20/5-57-1593(1)

Downent No8	
No Change In Class. 🖂	
D'Declassifieu	
Class. Changed to: T5 C C	
Next Review Date:	
Auth.: HR 70-3	
Date: 0 8 JAT: 1979	0Λ
	Dy;

**継ぎOBANDUM POR!** The Director

SUBJECT: Personnel Savings In Mondquarters

To develop and adopt a practical approach to FR CULE A effect personnel savinys in Meadquarters.

## ASSUMPT TONS:

- 1. That there might exist semowhere is the Agency, unuccessary overlapping and duplication,
- 2. That alterations in some projects and functions toward effecting mempewer savings might be undertaken without under-fulfilling the Agency's basis responsibilities.
- \*(Note: It is important that either these assumptions be validated and action taken to effect savings or the senier elements of the Agency given the confidence that the assumptions have been found invalid.)

## FACTS SEARING ON THE PROBLEM.

- A reduction of personnel in Rendementers is l. A reduction of personnel in Beadq required if it can be safely brought about.
- 2. Indresse is personnel in the Field Will be allowed based upon morits of any proposed expansion.
- 3. There is at the present time no system of "selection out" in the Agency except for individual CRIOS.

## DISCUSSION:

- 1. There are three principal apprenates to the problem of affecting manpower savings. They are:
  - a. Wooding-out such personnel as may be inefficiently utilized. In addition to inefficiently utilized individuals, this includes the elimination or reduction of administrative everlapping or

SERTET

-2.

duplication within units and as between other units. (This approach is arbitrarily limited to matters not involving the major organizational structure of the component concerned.) This approach also includes the climination of substandard personnel, which is a continuing responsibility of every supervisor.

- b. Altering the substantive functions charged to or assumed by the Agency.
- c. Extensive changes in the major expanisational structure of Headquarters, or of the major Head-quarters components (DD/P, ED/I, DD/S, and Office of the DCI.)
- 2. Although it is probable that only modest saving can be effected by Approach i. a. (Efficient manpower atilization), failure to press this approach vigorously would be indefensible, regardless of metion taken under Approaches i. b. and i. e.
- It is probable that the most lucrative petential for manyower savings would be found through Approach l. b. (Alteration of substantive Agency functions or projects). This approach involves the continuing attention of the most knowledgeable officials of the Agency and the responsible judgment and decisions of the mest senior officials. A practical method of facilitating this appreach and identifying questionable functions and projects for concerted Agency-wide attention, would be for each Deputy to require a systematic review of those functions and projects in his area by the planning and operational units concerned. and a report to him. Each Deputy Director should then present these questionable functions and projects to the FRC for immediate and piecement consideration and recommendation, (It is recognized that this examination also has a potential for savings wher them in the field of manpower, but those are not being dealt with, as such, in this particular paper.) Kanpower savings resulting from this action would be sumulative with those from Approach

SECTIET

-3-

- A. It is possible that manpower savings might neared from Approach 1. c. (Najer organizational changes). However, there does not now seem to be not reason to change the current majer structure which setump the DD/P, DD/S, and the Office of the DC1. Within each of these there may or may not be major changes appropriate, but in any event, the Deputies themselves should continue their efforts to improve and strending the organization of their respective components. Also, the Inspector Ceneral should continue his inspections and surveys and make recommendations bearing upon such organizations. The manpower savings resulting from any of these organizational changes would likewise be camulative with those resulting from Approach 1. n.
- 5. Results from these appreaches should not be considered as a "Reduction-in-Force." Reductions in personnel cailings made possible should be offected immediately by the DD/S. Personnel changes indicated as a result of the approaches, should be effected through a trition and reassignment; and through a system of "selection out" which should be developed.

## HECOMMENDATIONS!

- 1. That a "Manpower Task Perce" be appointed with terms of reference as Tab A. to deal with Approach L. a.
- 2. That, in order to carry out Approach 1, b., the respective Deputies be directed to require a systematic review and appraisal by the planning and operational people concerned, of all functions and projects in their arous. Where any function or project is found to be questioned, the Deputy concerned should submit them to the Project Review Committee for immediate and piecement consideration and recommendation.
- 3. That, in order to carry out Approach 1. c., the respective Deputies be directed to evaluate their present organizational structures, and where not suvings of personnel and other resentees can result, make organizational changes as required.



4. That the Inspector General be informed that there is no change in his instructions to continue als isspections and surveys of the respective compenents.

- 5. That the Director of Personnel be directed to propare a study proposing a system for "selection out" of limited numbers of personnel on a planted basis.
- C. P. GABELL
  Lieutement General, USAP
  Deputy Director

  Attachments
  At stated

  Approved For Release 2002/01/29: CIA-RDP78-04718A00230037001 6. That Tab A be published as an "Agency Notice" to acquaint Agency personnel of that particular step